# The effect of negative pressure wound therapy use after Cesarean section

Surbhi C. Jain,<sup>1</sup> Loreen A. Herwaldt,<sup>2</sup> M Ward,<sup>1</sup> Jean G Pottinger,<sup>1,2</sup> Colleen K. Stockdale,<sup>1</sup> Elijah C. Reische,<sup>3</sup> Abbey J. Hardy-Fairbanks<sup>1</sup>

Keywords: Wound therapy, negative pressure, cesarean section, surgical site infection, infection

#### **Objectives**

The aim of the study was to identify whether negative pressure wound therapy reduced the incidence of surgical site infections after a cesarean section.

#### Methods

We retrospectively reviewed all cesarean sections performed at The University of Iowa from January 2018 to January 2021. Demographic information was collected including age, BMI, preexisting or gestational diabetes, and preoperative diagnosis of chorioamnionitis. Incidence and type of surgical site infection based on the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) criteria was collected. A PICOTM dressing was used from January 2018 – January 2019. A standard sterile dressing was used from January 2019 – January 2020. The PrevenaTM dressing was used from January 2020 – January 2021. A multivariate analysis was conducted to compare infection rates, readmission/reoperation rates and post-procedure related skin and subcutaneous tissue problems between the PICOTM. PrevenaTM and control group. Logistic regression models adjusted for covariates were used to compare infection rates and Cox proportional

<sup>1</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Carver College of Medicine, University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics, Iowa City, IA, 52242 <sup>2</sup>Divison of Infectious Diseases, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics, Iowa City, IA, 52242

<sup>3</sup>University of Iowa Carver College of Medicine, Iowa City, Iowa

Please cite this abstract as: Jain SC, Herwaldt L, Ward M, Pottinger J, Stockdale C, Reische E, Sharp A, Hardy-Fairbanks A. The effect of negative pressure wound therapy use after Cesarean section. Proc Obstet Gynecol. 2023;12(1):Article 2 [ 2 p.]. Available from: <u>https://pubs.lib.uiowa.edu</u>.Free full text article.

Corresponding author: Surbhi C. Jain, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Iowa, 200 Hawkins Drive, Iowa City, IA 52242, <u>surbhi-jain@uiowa.edu</u>

Copyright: © 2023 Jain, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

hazard regression models were used to evaluate timing of infection among the dressing types.

### Results

A total of 1,617 patients were reviewed. 665 had a PICOTM dressing, 560 had a PrevenaTM dressing and 392 were in the control group. There was no statistical difference in infection rates within 30 days among the groups (P = 0.15). There was no statistical difference in readmission (p = 0.23) or re-operation (p = 1.00) among the groups. There was no statistical difference in seroma (p = 0.43), hematoma (p = 0.48), or wound dehiscence (p = 0.28) among the groups. There was a significant increase in adhesive irritation in patients using a PrevenaTM (p = 0.003). There was no significant difference in the odds ratio of developing an infection within 30 days among the dressing types nor was there significant difference in time to а infection development among the groups.

## Conclusion

Our study shows that there were no significant differences in infection and re-admission/re-operation rates between the PICOTM, PrevenaTM and control group dressing type. The PrevenaTM group had a significant increase of adhesive irritation. This study suggests that there may not be a role for negative pressure wound therapy after cesarean sections.

Presented at New Technologies in Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Iowa Carver College of Medicine Obstetrics and Gynecology Postgraduate Conference, 4 November 2022, Hyatt Regency Coralville, Coralville, IA.